



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/334,574	06/21/99	MASSOD	P 10925/002001

DENIS G MALONEY
FISH & RICHARDSON PC
225 FRANKLIN STREET
BOSTON MA 02110-2804

MMC2/1206

EXAMINER

LEE, D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2876

DATE MAILED: 12/06/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/334,574

Applicant(s)

MASSOD, PAUL E.

Examiner

Diane I. Lee

Art Unit

2876

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ____.
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-26 are presented for examination.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of its minor informalities:
 - (a) Line 1: "comprises" should be changed to --having--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
3. Page 2, lines 11: "has" should be deleted.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1, 8, and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - (a) Claim 1, line 2: "the article" should be changed to --an articles--;
 - (b) Claim 8, line 3: "the total" should be changed to --a total--;
 - (c) Claim 20, line 4: "the total" should be changed to --a total--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

6. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Markman [US 5,794,213-cited by applicant].

Markman discloses a method and apparatus for verifying inventory in group which conducted in a dry cleaning establishment, comprising:

Art Unit: 2876

an input mean 42 to record the article information and the total number of articles assigned in a group presented by the customer (see col. 5, lines 23+, col. 10, lines 66+);

a printer 30 to print tags 32 having unique sequential identification 50 to be affixed to the articles 24 (see figures 1-3). Markman further discloses that articles in grouped order correspond to a transaction, and the machine readable codes 50 having an unique sequential identification on labels 32 including a group identification portion 34 and a sequential number concatenated to the group identification portion (i.e., subsequently numbering the tag with 1 to 4 with same group ID number as shown in figure 3). The tag also shows the total number of articles 52 in its group (see col. 5, lines 24+);

a scanner 70 to scan the machine readable codes 50 on labels 32 (see figure 1);

a computer 72 having a storage media storing a computer program product which includes instructions for causing the computer to verify that articles in a grouped order belong in the grouped order. Wherein the verifying instructions includes a process of examining codes on tags associated with each article in the group to determine that the article belongs in the group. The verification process also includes the process of accessing a database to retrieve the number of articles in the group and matching numbers scanned from the labels on the article associated with the tags (see col. 3, lines 65+, col. 5, lines 48+, col. 7, lines 33+, col. 8, lines 19+, 9, lines 45+, col. 11, lines 43+, and figures 1-2);

indicators 92, 94 for indicating to an operator if the scanned unique sequential identification corresponds to an item that belongs in the group and wherein each group of articles are assigned to storage location 84 (see col. 8, lines 4+, col. 10, lines 12+ and figure 1). After the operator scans the tag, the data processor searches its memory 60 to determine whether the particular article is the first article encounters in its group. If the processor determines that the particular article is the first article encounters in its group, the data processor determines the total number of the articles its group (i.e., the total number stored in the database) and selects the storage location 84 that is already assigned by sending a signal to the indicator. This signaling process indicates to the operator visually if the scanned unique sequential identification corresponds to an item that belongs in the group (see col. 8, lines 1+ and figure 1-4). If the article is not the first in its group, the data processor selects the correct storage location 84 (i.e., already assigned to previous members of the group) by sending its a signal to the corresponding indicator (i.e., changing the signal indicator). This process of changing signal (i.e., from one storage indicator to another storage indicator)

Art Unit: 2876

visually indicates to the operator if the scanned unique sequential identification does not correspond to an item that belongs in the group. Rather, it belongs to the previously assigned storage having an article that is already scanned. (see col. 8, lines 23+ and figure 1-4). Markman also utilize different color of LEDs in the indicator to indicate that the count has reached the total numbers and the group has been successfully assembled (see col. 8, lines 30+). This process inherently teaches that the data processor includes an instruction such as subtracting a base (i.e., a total number of articles in a group) from a portion of the unique sequential identification to provide the number of items in the group (see col. 8, lines 30).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Markhman [US 5,794,213], Varley [US 5,025,140], Branch [US 5,114,187], Branch [US 5,125,513], and Mewburn [US 5,443,082], Smith et al. [US 5,377,814], Butcher et al. [US 4,991,719], Weiss et al. [US 4,239,435], Sinner [US 5,301,809], and Tosho [JP 0004870] discloses inventory control system and application in a laundry environment; and

Daisuke [JP 0201087], Anmelder [WO 88/07730], and Marek [CH 603,207] discloses an inventory control system including a sorting process utilizing coded indicia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane I. Lee whose telephone number is 703-306-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:30 PM.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.



D.L.
November 30, 2000

Diane I. Lee
Examiner
Art Unit 2876



MICHAEL G. LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800